



Guidelines for Authorship

Authorship on manuscripts should be granted to those individual(s) who are responsible for and knowledgeable about the reported research. It is usually limited to the person or people who made significant contributions to the work. These contributions include: a) conception and research design, b) data collection and analysis, and c) preparation, revision and/or approval of the manuscript. There is, however, some disagreement regarding the level of contribution required for authorship. The Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals, established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), sets relatively high standards. It indicates that all authors should play a role in:

1. Drafting or revising the manuscript, AND
2. Approving the final manuscript, AND
3. Conception/design of the study or data acquisition, OR
4. Data analysis and interpretation.

ICMJE standards indicate that authorship is not justified solely by acquisition of funding, data collection OR supervision of the research group. Other groups are not as restrictive in their requirements, and the exact guidelines used to determine authorship vary widely among disciplines, research groups and laboratories.

Thus, the final decision about authorship is best made collectively by those individuals involved in the study, and this decision should be made early in the study. People who were involved in the study but who do not qualify for authorship should be acknowledged in the manuscript.

Decisions regarding the order of listed authorship are also best made collectively by the individuals involved in the study. Order is often listed by the importance in the study; however, those individuals listed as first or last (or senior) author are usually perceived as having major roles. Some journals have specific rules for listing authors. In addition, many journals require identification of a corresponding author. This individual should be able to assume responsibility for the accuracy of the data, the selection of authors, approval of the final manuscript and handling of all correspondence. The corresponding or senior author should insure that all deserving individuals are included in the list of authors.

Scientific misconduct is broadly defined as fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, etc in proposing, conducting or reporting research. Issues regarding inappropriate authorship fall within this definition. Concerns regarding authorship should be reported to the Senior Associate Dean for Research/Vice President for Health Sciences Research

